

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/731,811		12/09/2003	Florence Marciacq		025219-442 4315		
21839	7590	03/15/2005		ſ	EXAMINER		
	BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404					LEWIS, PATRICK T	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			[ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			_	1623		

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			\ //				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	E				
		10/731,811	MARCIACQ ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>				
		Patrick T. Lewis	1623					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)☐	•	his action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	-					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		;					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been receau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔯 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>03262004</u> .		lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/731,811

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification/Drawings

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because pages 2, 4 and 12 contain flow charts which must be presented as formal drawings (37 CFR 1.58). The specification must also be amended to incorporate a corresponding description of said drawings. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/731,811 Page 3

Art Unit: 1623

3. Claims 2-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-

type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No.

6,838,560. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

distinct.

The examined claims are either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over,

the reference claim(s). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are generic to all that is

recited in claims 1-4 of US 6,838,560. That is, claims 1-4 of US 6,838,560 fall entirely

within the scope of claims 2-19 or, in other words, claims 2-19 are anticipated by claims

1-4 of US 6,838,560.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Gregory et al. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics (1979),

Vol. 196, pages 199-208 (Gregory) proposes a structure for a dialdehyde-ATP-lysine

derivative as shown is Fig. 3a. The prior art does not teach or suggest the replacement

of the two -OH moieties with hydrogen atoms nor replacement of the lysine moiety with

a label, protein, enzyme, fatty acid or peptide as instantly claimed.

5. Claims 2-19 are pending. Claims 2-19 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick T. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-0655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am to 3 pm (Maxi Flex).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick Tewis, PhD

Examiner Art Unit 1623